

Application Serial No. 10/713,883
Reply to Office Action of April 20, 2005

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Patent
Docket: CU-3453

MAY 19 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application: Jin Hui Cho et al.

] GRP ART UNIT: 2871

Serial No: 10/713,883

] Ex.: A.M. Schechter

Filed: November 14, 2003


For: Method for Manufacturing Transflective Thin Film
Transistor (TFT) Liquid Crystal Display (LCD)

Certification under 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The USPTO Central Fax No. (703) 872-9306

Date of Fax Transmittal: May 19, 2005

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to the fax number and on the date indicated above.


W. William Park, Reg. No. 55,523

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the Office Action dated April 20, 2005, setting a 1-month shortened statutory period for a reply ending on May 20, 2005, the pending dependent Claims 2-21 were restricted as follows:

- I. Claims 2-4 and 6-15 drawn to a method of making a transreflective LCD having details of the resin layers, contact holes, and TFT structure; and
- II. Claims 5 and 16-22 drawn to a method of making a transreflective LCD having details of the concave/convex portions under the reflective layer.

Application Serial No. 10/713,883
Reply to Office Action of April 20, 2005

Patent
Docket: CU-3453

The Office Action acknowledges that Claim 1 links the Inventions I and II and that upon the allowance of the linking claim(s) the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to the examination in the instant application.

Accordingly, Applicants hereby provisionally elect Claims 2-4 and 6-15 (as well as the generic Claim 1) with traverse for the reasons below.

Applicants retain the right to present the non-elected in a divisional application.

Applicants respectfully agree with the Examiner that allowability of a linking or a generic claim precludes restriction. If an application contains an allowable generic claim, the divisible species claims are required to be examined in a single application, if the species claims are either written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of the generic claim. In such a case, species claims are automatically allowable and no further search is required. 37 CFR § 1.141(a).

Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully advised that Applicants will rely on the patentability of the generic Claim 1 to overcome the present restriction requirement. If Claim 1 is found allowable, all other dependent claims will also be allowable, and the restriction requirement should be withdrawn.


Accordingly, election of Claims 2-4 and 6-15 (as well as the generic Claim 1) with traverse is confirmed.

Application Serial No. 10/713,883
Reply to Office Action of April 20, 2005

Patent
Docket: CU-3453

This response is considered to be responsive to all points raised in the Office Action. Should the Examiner have any remaining questions or concerns, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone to expeditiously resolve such concerns.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: May 11, 2005

W. William Park, Reg. No. 55,523
Ladas & Parry
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300